

**MINUTES
REGULAR BOARD MEETING
Friday, May 11, 2012 8:30 AM
Version 5/23/12 12:00 pm**

Call to Order and Roll Call

Regular Board meeting called to order by Les Abrams, Chairperson. The newest Board member, Eric Clinite, was introduced.

Board members present at Roll Call:

Les Abrams - present
Debra Rudd - present
Mike Trueba - present
Frank Ugenti - present
Mike Petrus - present
Eric Clinite - present
Joe Stroud - present
Kevin Yeanopolis - present
James Heaslet – not present

Staff Attendance:

Dan Pietropaulo – Executive Director – present
Jeanne Galvin – Assistant Attorney General - present
Rebecca Loar – Regulatory Compliance Office - present
Kelly Baldwin- Compliance Secretary - present

Pledge of Allegiance

Approval of Minutes (partial now and the rest to be continued after lunch)

Debra Rudd made a motion to approve the amended version of the February 24th, 2012 minutes. Mike Petrus seconded the motion. Eric Clinite and Mike Trueba abstained. The motion passed. The approval of the April 18th, 2012 minutes was tabled until the afternoon for Board review of recent revisions.

Call to Public

Disclaimer:

(The minutes of the Call to the Public are a summary of the comments made by the speaker. The reader of the minutes should realize that the speaker's comments and opinions are those of the speaker and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Board. The reader of the minutes should also realize that since this is a summary of comments by the speaker the statements have not been checked for factual accuracy.)

Ms. Joanna Conde addressed the Board at the Call to the Public.

1. Ms. Conde stated her unhappiness with the Board's ruling on Theresa McReynolds (case #3177). Ms. Conde disagreed with the Board's finding of an ethics violation.
2. Ms. Conde stated she was unhappy with the Board's decision regarding the complaints against Ms. Julie Friess and Mr. Roy Morris. Ms. Conde said it was her opinion that Ms. Friess' case was referred to OAH for revocation and her opinion that Mr. Morris' case was dismissed because the Board showed favoritism to Mr. Morris.
3. Ms. Conde's third point was that there was an investigative report regarding a complaint filed against Mr. Woods that was accepted by the Board. It was her opinion that the Board did not follow the rules.

Review and Action Concerning: 3319 Bruce W. Smith

Respondent was present with business partner, Jeff Busack. Mr. Smith felt the amended minutes of the April 18, 2012 were still incorrect and requested the Board review them again.

Mr. Smith submitted additional reports for the Board to review. He felt that even though he admitted to having erred on the original report, he did not agree with the original decision of the Board. After some discussion the Board decided to reduce the order of the original decision from a Level III to a Level II Letter of Due Diligence and removed the probation and finding of ethics violation. The education requirements remained the same. Mike Petrus made the motion to amend the Board's initial decision. Debra Rudd seconded the motion. The Board voted in favor of the motion with Frank Ugenti and Mike Trueba voting no.

Review and Action Concerning: 3399 Christina L. Scaturro

Respondent was present as was complainant, Mr. David Rush. Mr. Rush gave an opening statement. Frank Ugenti made a motion to dismiss with Joe Stroud seconding the motion. The Board voted in favor of the motion.

Review and Action Concerning: 3415 Pamela S. Cornwell

Frank Ugenti recused himself. Respondent was present. Debra Rudd gave a summary of the case. She reported she found no violations and moved to dismiss. Mike Trueba seconded and the Board voted in favor of the motion. Mr. Ugenti rejoined the Board.

Review and Action Concerning: 3105 Walter W. Reed

Respondent was present. Les Abrams gave a summary of the case. Debra Rudd made the motion to accept the counter offer, and Mike Petrus seconded the motion. The Board voted in favor of the motion.

Recess:

The Board took a short recess.

Review and Action Concerning: 3394 Shannon D. Naranjo

Respondent appeared telephonically. Board verbally identified themselves for Ms. Naranjo. Debra Rudd reviewed the case for the Board and noted that this is an initial file review, and that the appraisal was completed over five years ago. Ms. Rudd made the motion to dismiss this case, and Mike Trueba seconded the motion. The Board voted in favor of the motion.

Review and Action Concerning: 3380/3402 Glenn S. Bevilacqua

3380: Respondent was present. There was discussion between the Board and Mr. Bevilacqua about HUD guidelines with respect to whether 1 or 2 valuations are required. Mr. Bevilacqua and Ms. Rudd had what appeared to be conflicting HUD written instructions. Mr. Bevilacqua stated that per the verbiage on the form from his information the number of valuations is optional. Mike Petrus had some questions regarding adjustments in the Sales Comparison Approach, then made the motion to dismiss complaint #3380. Frank Ugenti seconded the motion. The motion carried with Debra Rudd voting no.

3402: Respondent was present. Mr. Bevilacqua stated that he knew the complaint submitted to the Board had included two apparently unrelated reports, but that he believed both appraisals were an attempt to discredit him. Mr. Bevilacqua did include a copy of the appraisal that was used as comparison with his to price the home. He then noted one of his listing comparables on his appraisal should not have been included. The issue was the adjustment he made regarding the location and the improvements to the property.

Mike Petrus commented that the other appraisal issues were also on view and location adjustments, and based on this, stated he didn't have any problems with Mr. Bevilacqua comparables. After more discussion with the Board, Debra Rudd made a motion to dismiss. Mike Trueba seconded and the Board voted in favor of the motion.

Review and Action Concerning: 3378 Jon W. Reeve

Respondent was present. Mike Petrus noted that the report was completed in 2006 and moved to dismiss the case. Frank Ugenti seconded the motion and the Board voted in favor of the motion.

Review and Action Concerning: 3331 Joseph A. Blagg

Respondent was present. Debra Rudd noted that Mr. Blagg's case had come before the Board in January with a number of items that were problematic with respect to Mr. Blagg's counter offer. Ms. Rudd made the motion to send this case to investigation, and Mike Petrus seconded the motion. The Board voted in

favor of this motion. Executive Director Dan Pietropaulo informed the Respondent that an investigation typically takes 60-90 days, and that he would be contacted once it was completed.

Review and Action Concerning: 3410 Ann L. Susko

Debra Rudd recused. Respondent was present. Les Abrams noted that this property is in Gilbert. Frank Ugenti stated that he reviewed this case, found no issue with the report, and made the motion to dismiss. Mike Trueba seconded and the Board voted in favor of the motion. Ms. Rudd rejoined the Board.

Recess:

The Board took a short recess.

Informal Hearing Concerning: 3249 Thomas M. Peevler

Respondent appeared and was sworn in. Frank Ugenti stated that Mr. Peevler is on the approved panel for his employer but that he nor his firm was involved in this transaction, and that he could be objective.

Mr. Peevler stated he had reviewed the investigator's report, and was deeply concerned and disagreed with many of the report's findings, especially in light of his long and virtually unblemished career. He conceded that there were aspects of his work file and appraisal that he believes could have been better supported with additional information. There was discussion regarding comparables and methodology, and how Mr. Peevler determined the values he used for adjustments. Then Mr. Peevler offered that in Yavapai County there is very little sales information other than the MLS.

Mike Petrus commented that he was not comfortable with the land valuations, and he thought a Level III should be considered. Ms. Rudd discouraged a Level III stating that this would include mentorship and probation, but that Mr. Peevler has been appraising for a long time, that this appraisal was very complex, that mentorship would not necessarily be beneficial but that there was definitely room for improvement. She made a motion for a Level II Letter of Due Diligence with 7 hours of complex properties, 15 hours of report writing with exam, 7 hour USPAP with CE, and to be completed within 6 months. Frank Ugenti seconded and the Board voted in favor of the motion with Mike Petrus voting no.

Informal Hearing Concerning: 3277 Anthony L. Colica

Respondent appeared and was sworn in. Frank Ugenti stated that this appraisal was ordered by CoreLogic for Chase Bank, that CoreLogic is a parent company of Mr. Ugenti's employer, but he has no business interest in this transaction and that he can remain objective. Mr. Colica commented on the investigator's report stating that he took no issue with 10 of the 14 items listed. The remaining four issues were with cost approach, and reporting. Mike Petrus made the motion to offer a Letter of Concern sighting the investigator's findings, and Debra Rudd seconded the motion. The Board voted in favor of the motion.

Informal Hearing Concerning: 3247/3293 Michael C. Melanson

Respondent was not present. Debra Rudd noted the findings of the investigator in his report. She then made a motion for a Level III violation and offer a Consent Agreement for 6 months probation, 12 reports minimum, a business writing class from an accredited college, 15 hour USPAP with exam, and no CE and a 7 hour USPAP with CE being allowed. Jeanne Galvin asked for clarification the number of hours required for the college level business writing course. Debra Rudd suggested a 3 hour course as measured in college hours which are not the same as our CE hours and that could be completed online. Mike Petrus seconded the motion, and the Board voted in favor of the motion.

Review and Action Concerning: 3397 Jeremiah J. O'Brien

Respondent was not present, but the Complainant, Mr. George Slamowitz was. Mr. Slamowitz had no additional comments to add to his complaint. Mike Petrus and Debra Rudd both commented that there were many issues that needed to be looked into. Mr. Slamowitz noted that he signed the loan with Bank of America, but that Bank of America never disclosed that they owned Landsafe, and questions whether the appraisal price was manipulated by this relationship. Frank Ugenti stated for the record the complaint mentions Wells Fargo but that they were not a party to the transaction, and that even though his employer serves Wells Fargo that he maintains he can be objective in this case but will recues if necessary. Debra Rudd made the motion to send the complaint to investigation, and Mike Trueba seconded it. The Board voted in favor of the motion.

Review and Action Concerning: 3087 Daniel O. Ragno

Respondent was not present. Mr. Ragno had submitted some additional reports for the Board to review from a decision by the Board on a complaint heard the previous month in April. After an opportunity to review additional reports Debra Rudd made the motion to terminate probation and Mike Petrus seconded it. The Board voted in favor of the motion.

OLD BUSINESS-CHASE BANK

Executive Director, Dan Pietropaulo updated the Board regarding a letter that is being sent out by Chase Bank to appraisers that require appraisers to submit a copy of the appraisal to the lending institution. These are appraisals that were done some time in the past for different lender. Mr. Pietropaulo feels the letter is threatening in nature as it lists the disciplinary steps that will be taken should the appraiser not comply including the appraiser being placed on the lender's ineligible list.

Mr. Dingeman attended the Board meeting and agreed to relay his own recent interactions of this nature with Chase Bank from one of these letters. Mr. Dingeman introduced himself and further clarified for the Board that in the case of this particular appraisal Chase was not the primary client for the appraiser, but the elements of the letter hold the appraiser responsible for violating client

confidentiality when they do not produce a copy of the appraisal to the bank. Mr. Dingeman has collected 393 signatures on petitions and received many calls from appraisers who have had this exact same experience, but who have responded to the lender, where Mr. Dingeman stated he cannot, due to his belief that this is a violation of USPAP, as well as a violation in many other ways of client confidentiality, and a matter of public trust.

Mr. Dingeman further stated he contacted the individual sending the letters, and requested a Cease and Desist Letter be submitted to Ms. Holly Bush and to Chase Bank to stop this practice as Mr. Dingeman believes that both Federal and State law is being violated.

Chairman Les Abrams commended Mr. Dingeman on his due diligence and asked if he had any other instances where he has been contacted by others. Mr. Dingeman confirmed he had many calls from appraisers who have had the same issues, only who were afraid of the financial impact, or who have spent a lot of money to take coursework to re-establish eligibility with the lender, even after their complaints were dismissed by the Board, and they re-submitted to Chase. Chase's stance has been that Appraisal Boards do not control their business decisions

The motion was made by Frank Ugenti to move into Executive Session to seek legal advice. Mike Trueba seconded, and the Board voted in favor of the motion.

The Board Reconvened from Executive Session.

The Chairman, Les Abrams directed Legal Counsel, Jeanne Galvin to investigate complaints that were brought before the Board by Mr. Dingemen, and submitted to Mr. Dingemen that with the complexity and breadth of the situation, the Board's review leads them to believe that these issues will most likely be settled in a court of law, but that they will continue to seek counsel to see if there is anything or any position the Board can take.

NEW BUSINESS - AMC'S-INTERTHINX

Mr. Mark Chapin, Executive Director and Chief Valuation Officer of Interthinx introduced himself, informed the Board of Interthinx's business model, and described to the Board in detail that his business is not an AMC, and his reasoning behind his business practices. The Board asked legal counsel if any action was necessary at this time. Counsel, responded "not at this time."

Lunch Recess:

The Board recessed for lunch.

Informal Hearing Concerning: 3327 Gwendalynn M. Baker

Frank Ugenti recused. Respondent appeared and was sworn in. Ms. Baker had no opening comments. There was discussion regarding Ms. Baker's

comparables, and that there was extensive remodeling done to the property that was noted in the amended report. Mike Petrus commented that he found the comparables provided by the review appraiser to be poor comparables in comparison to Ms. Baker's, and that he did not find any violations. Debra Rudd made the motion to offer a Level II Letter of Remedial Action with 7 hours of sales comparables coursework with no CE and 6 months to complete. Mike Trueba seconded the motion. Mike Petrus stated that he felt a Letter of Concern would be less harsh and more appropriate. The Board voted in favor of the motion for the Level II Letter of Remedial Action with Mike Petrus, Joe Stroud, and Eric Clinite voting no.

Informal Hearing Concerning: 3345 Mary T. Caraballo

Mr. Ugenti rejoined the Board. Respondent appeared and was sworn in. Ms. Caraballo had no opening statement. Debra Rudd asked for clarification regarding whether Ms. Caraballo is still currently an appraiser, and clarified that this action occurred prior to her being on probation, to which Ms. Caraballo answered "that's correct." Ms. Rudd cited 1-1a, 1-4a, 1-3a & b as the violation, and made the motion to make a note in Ms. Caraballo's file and close the case. Mike Trueba seconded the motion and the Board voted in favor of the motion.

Informal Hearing Concerning: 3335 Mary H. Marks

Respondent appeared and was sworn in. Ms. Marks had no opening statement. Frank Ugenti asked Ms. Marks if the Board had her entire work file to which she responded that she had provided what she had, that her daughter who was filing for her upset several piles of paperwork, some of which were from 10 years ago, that it was a big mess, and that some of the work file was lost. Ms. Marks apologized for this, and affirmed that her work file is usually more extensive. She stated the property is excess land, and that the complainant was not happy with the value of the appraisal. There was discussion about valuation with respect to Ms. Marks' oversight of the possibility of building an additional structure on the property. Ms. Rudd cited 1-1a, 2-1a, 1-3a as violations, but that there have been no previous complaints against Ms. Marks. Debra Rudd made the motion to offer a Nondisciplinary Letter of Remedial Action Level II with 15 hours of basic appraisal coursework with no CE, and the 2012-13 USPAP with CE, within the next 6 months. Mike Trueba seconded, and the Board voted in favor of the motion.

Review and Action Concerning: 3408 Roy E. Morris, III

Review and Action Concerning: 3409 Robert B. Nixon

Review and Action Concerning: 3413 Julie D. Friess

Ms. Rudd recused from deliberating on these cases. None of the three Respondents were present and It was noted by Chairman Les Abrams that Ms. Friess was represented by Mr. Scott Zwillinger. Frank Ugenti made the motion to dismiss all three cases. Mike Trueba seconded, and the Board voted in favor of the motion.

Review and Action Concerning: 3290 H. Harrison Cox

Ms. Rudd rejoined the Board. Respondent was not present. Debra Rudd reviewed the most current offer given to the Respondent. Legal Counsel, Jeanne Galvin noted that, if any of the following compliance file review cases need to go to a formal hearing, they do not necessarily need to go to investigation given that Dan Pietropaulo can testify. Les Abrams made the motion to reject the counter offer, and reoffer the previously offered Consent Agreement, give Mr. Cox 10 business days to sign the Consent Agreement with the stipulation that should he not sign, the case will be sent to OAH for a formal hearing. Mike Trueba seconded and the Board voted in favor of the motion.

Review and Action Concerning: 3338 James E. Clevenger

Respondent was not present. Mike Petrus made the motion to dismiss. Debra Rudd seconded the motion and the Board voted in favor of the motion.

Review and Action Concerning: 3398 Thomas A. Raynak

Respondent was not present. Les Abrams noted this case deals with 3 properties in Sedona. Debra Rudd made the motion to dismiss and Mike Petrus seconded it. The Board voted in favor of the motion.

Review and Action Concerning: 3401 Jay P. Kronmiller

Frank Ugenti recused. Respondent was not present. Debra Rudd noted there was a 5 year retaining period that expired 3 months ago which is past the USPAP record keeping requirements for appraisal files. Mike Petrus made the motion to dismiss. Mike Trueba seconded and the Board voted in favor of the motion.

Review and Action Concerning: 3403 Travis B. Spear

Frank Ugenti rejoined the Board. Respondent was not present. Les Abrams noted this property is located in Show Low. Mike Petrus stated the reasoning for the changes made in the amount of the sales contract and subsequent appraisal give the appearance of value bias. Frank Ugenti concurred and made the motion to offer a Level III Consent Agreement with 6 months of probation with mentorship, 15 hours of USPAP coursework with exam and no CE, 7 hours of 2012-13 USPAP coursework with CE, and a minimum of 12 reports to be completed within 6 months as a result of the appearance that the change in the value indicated a bias and that is a violation of ethics conduct. Mike Trueba seconded and the Board voted in favor of the motion.

Review and Action Concerning: 3404 Dale V. Gleave

Frank Ugenti recused. The Respondent was not present. Les Abrams noted that this property is located in Ft. Defiance, in Apache County. Debra Rudd commented that she would like for Mr. Gleave to be aware that the Departure Provision went away in 2006, and further noted that this is a special FHA program for the Navajo Indian Reservation. Ms. Rudd felt that given the complexities of appraising this property, that the appraisal was performed

satisfactorily. Ms. Rudd then made the motion to dismiss with direction to include in the letter to the Respondent that the Departure Provision was eliminated in 2006 so that he may update the language in his addendum. Mike Trueba seconded and the Board voted in favor of the motion.

Point of order:

At this point in the meeting, Chairman Les Abrams acknowledged Legal Counsel, Jeanne Galvin. Ms. Galvin responded with frustration that she was simply trying to listen to the Board's deliberation, but that conversations in the gallery throughout the meeting since the morning were very distracting and disruptive making it difficult to hear the Board and that it was making it incredibly difficult to concentrate. Chairman Abrams asked the audience to cease conversations.

Review and Action Concerning: 3405 Adrian Cirjan

The Respondent was not present. Les Abrams noted this property is in Peoria. Debra Rudd noted that English is Mr. Cirjan's second language, and that her notes show that the report may be somewhat misleading regarding property trends and descriptions due possibly to Mr. Cirjan's writing skills. Frank Ugenti made the motion to dismiss and Mike Trueba seconded it. The Board voted in favor of the motion.

Review and Action Concerning: 3407 James J. Graham

Respondent was not present. Les Abrams noted this property is in Sedona. Mike Petrus did not find any violations and moved to dismiss. Debra Rudd noted that Mr. Graham is currently under probation. Frank Ugenti stated that he believes Mr. Graham is on his employer's approved panel, but that he could remain objective. Debra Rudd seconded the motion to dismiss and the Board voted in favor of the motion.

12 MONTH FILE REVIEW

Executive Director Dan Pietropaulo updated the Board on pending actions for complaints that are 12 months or more, and stated that the number of files in this category are continually decreasing.

Debra Rudd asked for clarification on the following cases:

2836-Ms. Galvin stated the letter for this case had to be re-drafted and is waiting on her updated revisions.

2920/2931-Ms. Rebecca Loar commented that Mr. Zimmerman has an extension due to extenuating family issues. Mr. Zimmerman's attorney expects to have his counter offer to Ms. Loar by the end of next week, 5-18-2012, and that the counter offer should be on June 2012's agenda.

2984-waiting for the investigation report to come back. The Board has tabled #2984 to wait and see the report on complaint #3113. That report has been sent

to investigation, and should be available by June or July so that the Board can deliberate.

3068/3069-Legal Counsel noted that she believed the Board took action on these cases in April, recommended a Letter of Concern which is a large commercial property. Ms. Galvin has been in contact with both appraisers, Mr. David C. Lennhoff, and Mr. Harry A. Horstman, III, and they want to appear before the Board. They requested time to appear and come before the Board in June.

3182/3221-were on a previous agenda, Mr. Richard T. Salceda was scheduled for a formal but requested more time to review the investigative reports which he was granted. He will mostly likely appear before the Board in July.

3191-Jeanne Galvin stated we are waiting for the transmittal of the file. Ms. Rebecca Loar updated the Board that Staff has been preparing for several hearings and 3191 is the next one in line.

NEW BUSINESS – A.E.S. (Asset Evaluation Services)

Ms. Galvin stated that this company simply wants to cease doing business in the state of Arizona, and as such, is requesting the Board to accept their voluntary nondisciplinary surrender. Executive Director stated that their bond is supposed to stay in place for one year after their registration expires. Ms. Galvin noted that a reminder with respect to the bond can be submitted to AES in a letter. Debra Rudd made the motion to accept their voluntary nondisciplinary surrender with a reminder to be sent to them in a letter. Mike Trueba seconded and the Board voted in favor of the motion.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES: (Continued from earlier in the agenda)

Debra Rudd made the motion to accept April 18, 2012 minutes as revised. Mike Trueba seconded, and the Board voted in favor of the motion. Eric Clinite abstained.

Discussion:

Ms. Rudd requested that there be some adjustment made in the summary of the minutes; that they are too lengthy. Executive Director Dan Pietropaulo explained to the Board he will be working with Kelly Baldwin who has prior experience with minutes, to assist her in learning how to summarize what is key.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR REPORT:

Assistant Attorney General, Jeanne Galvin updated the Board that she is behind on her April assignments, but that by June she will have completed both April and May's assignments. Additionally, Ms. Galvin updated the Board that she has been informed that an Open Meeting Law Complaint has been filed with the Attorney General's Office against the Board. Once the Board receives the complaint formally, Ms. Galvin and Executive Director, Dan Pietropaulo will draft a response for Board approval.

Mr. Pietropaulo further noted that he received a call from the Ombudsman office informing him that someone had filed an Open Meeting Law Complaint, stating the basis of the complaint was that the Board was discussing business while eating lunch together with the forum. Mr. Pietropaulo noted that this was likely from one specific incident and he's not sure how anyone could have known what was being discussed if they were not present. He further said he and the Board were there and that no business was being discussed.

Mr. Pietropaulo further stated that the allegation further involved the Board going into Executive Session and alleging that the Board of deliberated a case in Executive Session. The Complainant, however, was not in the Executive Session so would have had no idea of what took place. Mr. Pietropaulo said the Ombudsman did not see anything that rose to the level of a violation of the Open Meeting Laws.

Ms. Galvin stated that the Ombudsman had not yet decided to open an investigation.

Mr. Ugenti stated that this is sad, especially when members of the public invite themselves to have lunch with the Board with the sole intent of discussing topics and felt that this is hypocritical and unprofessional, and encouraged Board members not to talk to them outside of the formal setting.

Legal Counsel stated that it is not uncommon for a Board to recess for lunch and the members to eat together given time restraints and that during the recess no Board business is discussed, and is this is not considered inappropriate.

Ms. Galvin was asked who filed the complaint with the Attorney General's office she stated that it is a matter of public record and that the person who filed the complaint was Joanna Conde.

There were other updates from the Executive Director.

1. He said the email program is up and running but with some continued "bugs". An individual email address could likely be made available to each Board member however, should they desire to have one. Mr. Pietropaulo also stated that there were continued changes coming to the website and internal database.
2. James Heaslet and Mike Petrus will be representing the Board of Appraisal at the Investigator Level I class. Should a third spot become available, Chairperson, Les Abrams will also attend. Debra Rudd will be attending Investigator Level II.
3. Mrs. Rudd had attended the AARO Conference and shared some of the highlights of the report with the Board. Ms. Rudd highlighted a couple of points and stated she would forward the report electronically to the Board.

Her highlights were:

- 70% pass rate on National Exam. Much improved (used to be 52%).
- Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has joined the Appraisal Sub Committee
- Mandatory Reporting Dodd-Frank has made provision that when an appraisal has been found to be materially deficient, it now must be turned over to the Board. The panel is still deliberating on the exact definition of “materially deficient,” but Ms. Rudd found this point to be of high importance.
- The concern about reporting is that State agencies potentially be overwhelmed, and that triaging complaints are believed to the order of business should this happen.

Due to the lateness of the day, Ms. Rudd suggested forwarding the report for the Board’s review to each Board member. Board members were instructed by legal counsel to forward any comments to the Executive Director, and to not discuss the report among themselves.

NEW BUSINESS

1. Communication from the public and circumstances in which it should be forwarded to the Board by the Executive Director.

Frank Ugenti stated that he wondered if some of the emails were potentially baiting Board members into discussion, and opened his comment up for discussion. Ms. Rudd interjected that it’s up to each individual Board member not to violate the Open Meeting Law, and stated that she did not want the Executive Director to be responsible for filtering information sent to the Board for the purpose of keeping them abreast of issues. Ms. Galvin reminded the Board that the information the Executive Director forwards to the Board are not action items, they are purely information items, that no action is required, and that these submissions are all forwarded to Ms. Galvin, and that every aspect of the communication is appropriate. The Executive Director also stated he agreed with Debbie Rudd that he should not filter the information.

2. The Board discussed how it could obtain information regarding appraisers who have had civil or criminal action taken against them as appraisers.

There was discussion by the Board with respect to why the Board is not seeing cases such as these come before the Board. Ms. Galvin stated the pending motion for the Board to have Dan on the Board’s behalf communicate in writing to the presiding judges, and attorneys in all the counties in the State, making them aware of the Board’s mission to protect the public and for those presiding judges and courts to notify their sitting judges on the various civil and criminal benches to notify the Board if they have a case where an appraiser is involved and his/her conduct as an appraiser is an issue, that the Board would like to be notified of those cases.

Frank Ugenti further recommended that this be a semi-annual letter, and made the motion to adopt. Mike Trueba seconded and the Board voted in favor of the motion

COMMITTEE REPORTS

(1) APPLICATION REVIEW

Mike Petrus gave the Board a review of the committee recommendations. Debra Rudd made the motion to accept the report. Frank Ugenti seconded and the Board voted in favor of the motion.

(2) APPRAISAL TESTING AND EDUCATION:

The Board reviewed the recommendations by the Committee. Frank Ugenti made the motion to accept and Mike Trueba seconded it. The Board voted in favor of the motion.

CONFIRMATION OF MEETING DATES, TIME, LOCATIONS AND PURPOSES:

Rebecca Loar updated the Board that with the exception of November, the Board will continue to meet at the same place. Chairman, Les Abrams directed Ms. Loar to update the Board on the dates for the next two Board meetings, and to forward additional dates for Board meeting in an email. Ms. Loar confirmed with the Board that starting the meeting at 8:30 in the morning was still agreeable. It was noted that Joe Stroud, James Heaslet, and Kevin Yeanopolis will not be able to attend the June meeting. It was noted that Mike Petrus will not be attending the July meeting.

Ms. Loar confirmed the dates and times for the Committee meetings as well.

Meeting was adjourned.

Les Abrams, Chairperson